

DAVIS, CA 95616

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 08/20/2003 Sung-Joo Ben Yoo UC03-067-2 7615 10/645,165 **EXAMINER** 31696 7590 06/13/2005 **CHARLES GUENZER** WOOD, KEVIN S c/o A. RICHARD PARK, REG NO. 41241 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER PARK, VAUGHAN, & FLEMING, LLP 2820 FIFTH STREET 2874

DATE MAILED: 06/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			u.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/645,165	YOO, SUNG-JOO BEN	•
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin S. Wood	2874	
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) de - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. 1ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	·		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n .		
· _ ·	☐ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice to	·		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are versions 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 are subject to restriction are	vithdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	\square accepted or b) \square objected to I	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	= : :	• •	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A ne priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	_		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- 		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date	
Notice of Dialisperson's Patent Diawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date	, –	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/645,165 Page 2

Art Unit: 2874

RESTRICTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-8, drawn to communications apparatus, classified in class 385, subclass 24.
 - II. Claims 9-16 and 22-25, drawn to a CDMA communications system and method, classified in class 398, subclass 140.
 - III. Claims 17-19, drawn to a Mach-Zehnder detector, classified in class 398, subclass 202.
 - IV. Claims 20-21, drawn to a fiber dispersion compensator, classified in class398, subclass 81.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I, II, III, and IV are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship, where Groups I, III and IV are the intermediate products and Group IV is the final product. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP §

Application/Control Number: 10/645,165

Art Unit: 2874

806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate products are deemed to be useful as an optical modulator (Group I), an optical detector (Group III), and a dispersion compensator (Group IV), and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Groups II, III or IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Groups I, III or IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/645,165

Art Unit: 2874

- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group III is not required for Groups I, II or IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group IV is not required for Groups I, II or III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. A telephone call was made to A. Richard Park on 9 June 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin S. Wood whose telephone number is (571) 272-2364. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (7am - 5:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney B. Bovernick can be reached on (571) 272-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/645,165 Page 5

Art Unit: 2874

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin S. Wood Patent Examiner

Kom & Wird